

EXISTENCE THEORY FOR PERTURBED FUNCTIONAL DIFFERENTIAL INCLUSIONS

B. C. Dhage¹, E. Gatsori², and S. K. Ntouyas²

¹ Kasubai, Gurukul Colony, Ahmedpur-413 515,
Dist: Latur Maharashtra, India
e-mail:bcd20012001@yahoo.co.in

² Department of Mathematics
University of Ioannina,
451 10 Ioannina, Greece
e-mail:egatsori@yahoo.gr, sntouyas@cc.uoi.gr

Abstract

In this paper the existence as well as the existence of the extremal solutions for a first order perturbed functional differential inclusions is proved under the mixed generalized Lipschitzity and Carathéodory's conditions.

Key words and phrases: Functional differential inclusions, fixed point theorems, extremal solutions.

AMS (MOS) Subject Classifications: 34A60, 34K05, 47H10

1 Statement of Problem

Let \mathbb{R} denote the real line and let \mathbb{R}^n be an n -dimensional Euclidean space. We define a norm $|\cdot|$ in \mathbb{R}^n by

$$|x| = |x_1| + \cdots + |x_n|$$

for $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Let $I_0 = [-r, 0]$ and $I = [0, a]$ be two closed and bounded intervals in \mathbb{R} . Let $C = C(I_0, \mathbb{R}^n)$ denote the Banach space of all continuous \mathbb{R}^n -valued functions on I_0 with the usual supremum norm $\|\cdot\|_C$ given by

$$\|\phi\|_C = \sup\{|\phi(\theta)| : -r \leq \theta \leq 0\}.$$

For any continuous function x defined on the interval where $J = [-r, a] = I_0 \cup I$ and any $t \in I$ we denote by x_t the element of C defined by

$$x_t(\theta) = x(t + \theta), \quad -r \leq \theta \leq 0, \quad 0 \leq t \leq a.$$

Given a function $\phi \in C$, consider the perturbed functional differential inclusion (in short FDI)

$$\left. \begin{aligned} x'(t) &\in F(t, x_t) + G(t, x_t) \quad \text{a.e. } t \in I, \\ x_0 &= \phi, \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (1.1)$$

where $F, G : I \times C \rightarrow P_f(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $P_f(\mathbb{R}^n)$ denotes the class of all nonempty subsets of \mathbb{R}^n .

By the solution of FDE (1.1) we mean a function $x \in AC(J, \mathbb{R}^n)$ that satisfies the equations in (1.1), where $AC(J, \mathbb{R}^n)$ is the space of all absolutely continuous functions on J .

The FDE (1.1) is new and the special cases of it have been discussed in the literature since long time. For example, if $F(t, x_t) = \{f(t, x_t)\}$ and $G(t, x_t) = \{g(t, x_t)\}$, then we obtain a functional differential equation

$$\left. \begin{aligned} x'(t) &= f(t, x_t) + g(t, x_t) \quad \text{a.e. } t \in I, \\ x_0 &= \phi, \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (1.2)$$

where $f, g : I \times C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$. The more general form of functional differential equation than (1.2) has been discussed in Dhage [7] for existence results. Again when $G \equiv 0$ on $I \times C$, the FDI (1.1) reduces to

$$\left. \begin{aligned} x'(t) &\in F(t, x_t) \quad \text{a.e. } t \in I, \\ x_0 &= \phi, \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (1.3)$$

where $F : I \times C \rightarrow P_f(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

The FDI (1.3) has already been discussed in the literature via different methods. The multi-valued version of a fixed point theorem of Krasnoselskii is generally used for proving the existence of solution under the mixed Lipschitzity and Carathéodory's conditions. See Petrusel [15] and the references therein. In this article we shall prove an existence theorem for FDI (1.1) using a new nonlinear alternative of Schaefer type recently developed in Dhage [6].

2 Auxiliary results

Throughout this paper X will be a Banach space and let $P(X)$ denote the class of all subsets of X . Let $P_f(X)$, $P_{bd,cl}(X)$ and $P_{cp,cv}(X)$ denote respectively the classes of all nonempty, bounded-closed and compact-convex subsets of X . For $x \in X$ and $Y, Z \in P_{bd,cl}(X)$ we denote by $D(x, Y) = \inf\{\|x - y\| \mid y \in Y\}$, and $\rho(Y, Z) = \sup_{a \in Y} D(a, Z)$.

Define a function $H : P_{bd,cl}(X) \times P_{bd,cl}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ by

$$H(A, B) = \max\{\rho(A, B), \rho(B, A)\}.$$

The function H is called a Hausdorff metric on X . Note that $\|Y\| = H(Y, \{0\})$.

A correspondence $T : X \rightarrow P_f(X)$ is called a multi-valued mapping on X . A point $x_0 \in X$ is called a *fixed point of the multi-valued operator* $T : X \rightarrow P_f(X)$ if $x_0 \in T(x_0)$. The fixed points set of T will be denoted by $\text{Fix}(T)$.

Definition 2.1 Let $T : X \rightarrow P_{bd,cl}(X)$ be a multi-valued operator. Then T is called a multi-valued contraction if there exists a constant $k \in (0, 1)$ such that for each $x, y \in X$ we have

$$H(T(x), T(y)) \leq k\|x - y\|.$$

The constant k is called a contraction constant of T .

A multi-valued mapping $T : X \rightarrow P_f(X)$ is called *lower semi-continuous* (shortly l.s.c.) (resp. *upper semi-continuous* (shortly u.s.c.)) if B is any open subset of X then $\{x \in X \mid Gx \cap B \neq \emptyset\}$ (resp. $\{x \in X \mid Gx \subset B\}$) is an open subset of X . The multi-valued operator T is called *compact* if $\overline{T(X)}$ is a compact subset of X . Again T is called *totally bounded* if for any bounded subset S of X , $T(S)$ is a totally bounded subset of X . A multi-valued operator $T : X \rightarrow P_f(X)$ is called *completely continuous* if it is upper semi-continuous and totally bounded on X , for each bounded $A \in P_f(X)$. Every compact multi-valued operator is totally bounded but the converse may not be true. However the two notions are equivalent on a bounded subset of X .

We apply the following form of the fixed point theorem of Dhage [6] in the sequel.

Theorem 2.1 Let X be a Banach space, $A : X \rightarrow P_{cl,cv,bd}(X)$ and $B : X \rightarrow P_{cp,cv}(X)$ two multi-valued operators satisfying

- (a) A is contraction with a contraction constant k , and
- (b) B is u.s.c. and completely continuous.

Then either

- (i) the operator inclusion $\lambda x \in Ax + Bx$ has a solution for $\lambda = 1$, or
- (ii) the set $\mathcal{E} = \{u \in X \mid \lambda u \in Au + Bu, \lambda > 1\}$ is unbounded.

We also need the following definitions in the sequel.

Definition 2.2 A multi-valued map $F : J \rightarrow P_{cp,cv}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is said to be measurable if for every $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the function $t \rightarrow d(y, F(t)) = \inf\{\|y - x\| : x \in F(t)\}$ is measurable.

Definition 2.3 A multi-valued map $F : I \times C \rightarrow P_{cl}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is said to be L^1 -Carathéodory if

- (i) $t \rightarrow F(t, x)$ is measurable for each $x \in C$,
- (ii) $x \rightarrow F(t, x)$ is upper semi-continuous for almost all $t \in I$, and

(iii) for each real number $\rho > 0$, there exists a function $h_\rho \in L^1(I, \mathbb{R}^+)$ such that

$$\|F(t, u)\| = \sup\{|v| : v \in F(t, u)\} \leq h_\rho(t), \quad \text{a.e. } t \in J$$

for all $u \in C$ with $\|u\|_C \leq \rho$.

Denote

$$S_F^1(x) = \{v \in L^1(I, \mathbb{R}^n) : v(t) \in F(t, x_t) \text{ a.e. } t \in I\}.$$

Then we have the following lemmas due to Lasota and Opial [13].

Lemma 2.1 *If $\dim(X) < \infty$ and $F : J \times X \rightarrow P_f(X)$ is L^1 -Carathéodory, then $S_F^1(x) \neq \emptyset$ for each $x \in X$.*

Lemma 2.2 *Let X be a Banach space, F an L^1 -Carathéodory multi-valued map with $S_F^1 \neq \emptyset$ and $\mathcal{K} : L^1(J, X) \rightarrow C(J, X)$ be a linear continuous mapping. Then the operator*

$$\mathcal{K} \circ S_F^1 : C(J, X) \longrightarrow P_{cp,cv}(C(J, X))$$

is a closed graph operator in $C(J, X) \times C(J, X)$.

3 Existence Result

We consider the following set of assumptions in the sequel.

(H_1) The multi-function $t \rightarrow F(t, x)$ is measurable and integrably bounded for each $x \in C$.

(H_2) There exists a function $k \in L^1(I, \mathbb{R}^+)$ such that the multi-function $F : I \times C \rightarrow P_{cl,cv,bd}(C(I, \mathbb{R}^n))$ satisfies

$$H(F(t, x), F(t, y)) \leq k(t)\|x - y\|_C \quad \text{a.e. } t \in I,$$

for all $x, y \in C$ and $\|k\|_{L^1} < 1$.

(H_3) The multi $G(t, x)$ has compact and convex values for each $(t, x) \in I \times C$.

(H_4) G is L^1 -Carathéodory.

(H_5) There exists a function $q \in L^1(I, \mathbb{R})$ with $q(t) > 0$ for a.e. $t \in I$ and a nondecreasing function $\psi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ such that

$$\|G(t, x)\| \leq q(t)\psi(\|x\|_C) \quad \text{a.e. } t \in I,$$

for all $x \in C$.

We use the following lemma in the sequel.

Lemma 3.1 *Suppose that the hypothesis (H_2) holds. Then for any $a \in F(t, x)$,*

$$|a| \leq k(t)\|x\|_C + \|F(t, 0)\|, \quad t \in I,$$

for all $x \in C$.

Proof: Let $x \in C$ be arbitrary. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|F(t, x)\| &= H(F(t, x), 0) \\ &\leq H(F(t, x), F(t, 0)) + H(F(t, 0), 0) \\ &\leq H(F(t, x), F(t, 0)) + \|F(t, 0)\|, \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in I$. Hence for any $a \in F(t, x)$,

$$\begin{aligned} |a| &\leq \|F(t, x)\| \\ &\leq H(F(t, x), F(t, 0)) + \|F(t, 0)\| \\ &\leq k(t)\|x\|_C + \|F(t, 0)\|, \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in I$. The proof of the lemma is complete. \square

Theorem 3.1 *Assume that (H_1) – (H_5) hold. Suppose that*

$$\int_{c_0}^{\infty} \frac{ds}{s + \psi(s)} > \|\gamma\|_{L^1} \quad (3.1)$$

where $c_0 = \int_0^a \|F(s, 0)\| ds$ and $\gamma(t) = \max\{k(t), q(t)\}$ for $t \in I$. Then the FDI (1.1) has a solution on J .

Proof : The problem of existence of a solution of FDI (1.1) reduces to finding the solution of the integral inclusion

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &\in \phi(0) + \int_0^t F(s, x_s) ds + \int_0^t G(s, x_s) ds, \quad t \in I \\ x(t) &= \phi(t), \quad t \in I_0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

We study the integral inclusion (3.2) in the space $X = C(J, \mathbb{R}^n)$ of all continuous \mathbb{R}^n -valued functions on J with a supremum norm $\|\cdot\|$. Define two multi-valued maps $A, B : X \rightarrow P_f(X)$ by

$$Ax = \begin{cases} \left\{ u \in C(I, \mathbb{R}^n) : u(t) = \int_0^t v(s) ds, \quad v \in S_F^1(x) \right\}, & \text{if } t \in I, \\ 0, & \text{if } t \in I_0, \end{cases} \quad (3.3)$$

and

$$Bx = \begin{cases} \left\{ u \in C(I, \mathbb{R}^n) : u(t) = \phi(0) + \int_0^t v(s) ds, v \in S_G^1(x) \right\}, & \text{if } t \in I, \\ \phi(t) & \text{if } t \in I_0. \end{cases} \quad (3.4)$$

We shall show that the operators A and B satisfy all the conditions of Theorem 2.1 on J .

Step I. First we show that Ax is closed convex and bounded subset of X for each $x \in X$. This follows easily if we show that the values of Niemytsky operator are closed in $L^1(I, \mathbb{R}^n)$. Let $\{w_n\}$ be a sequence in $L^1(I, \mathbb{R}^n)$ converging to a point w . Then $w_n \rightarrow w$ in measure and so, there exists a subset S of positive integers with w_n converging a.e. to w as $n \rightarrow \infty$ through S . Now since (H_1) holds, the values of S_F^1 are closed in $L^1(I, \mathbb{R}^n)$. Thus for each $x \in X$ we have that Ax is non-empty and closed subset of X .

We prove that Ax is a convex subset of X for each $x \in X$. Let $u_1, u_2 \in Ax$. Then there exists v_1 and v_2 in $S_F^1(x)$ such that

$$u_j(t) = \int_0^t v_j(s) ds, \quad j = 1, 2.$$

Since $F(t, x)$ has convex values, one has for $0 \leq \mu \leq 1$,

$$[\mu v_1 + (1 - \mu)v_2](t) \in S_F^1(x)(t), \quad \forall t \in J.$$

As a result we have

$$[\mu u_1 + (1 - \mu)u_2](t) = \int_0^t [\mu v_1(s) + (1 - \mu)v_2(s)] ds.$$

Therefore $[\mu u_1 + (1 - \mu)u_2] \in Ax$ and consequently Ax has convex values in X . From hypothesis (H_1) it follows that Ax is a bounded subset of X for each $x \in X$. Thus we have $A : X \rightarrow P_{cl,cv,bd}(X)$.

Step II. Next we show that B has compact values on X . Now the operator B is equivalent to the composition $\mathcal{L} \circ S_G^1$ of two operators on $L^1(I, \mathbb{R}^n)$, where $\mathcal{L} : L^1(I, \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow P(C(I, \mathbb{R}^n))$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{L}v(t) = \phi(0) + \int_0^t v(s) ds.$$

To show B has compact values, it is enough to show that the Niemytskii operator has compact values on $L^1(I, \mathbb{R}^n)$. Let $x \in X$ be arbitrary and let $\{v_n\}$ be any sequence in $S_G^1(x)$. Then $v_n(t) \in G(t, x_t)$ a.e. for $t \in I$. Since $G(t, x_t)$ is compact, there exists a subset S of positive integers such that $v_n(t) \rightarrow v(t)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ through S and

$v(t) \in G(t, x_t)$ a.e. for $t \in I$. As a result we have that $v_n \rightarrow v$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ through S . Hence $S_G^1(x)$ is compact and consequently by superposition principle Bx is compact for each $x \in X$. See Appell [2] and the references therein. Further as in the case of operator A it can be shown that B has convex values on X . Thus we have $B : X \rightarrow P_{cp,cv}(X)$.

Step III. We show that A is a multi-valued contraction on X . Let $x, y \in X$ and $u_1 \in Ax$. Then $u_1 \in C(I, \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $u_1(t) = \int_0^t v_1(s) ds$ for some $v_1 \in S_F^1(x)$. Since $H(F(t, x_t), F(t, y_t)) \leq k(t)\|x_t - y_t\|_C$, one obtains that there exists $w \in F(t, y_t)$ such that $\|v_1(t) - w\| \leq k(t)\|x_t - y_t\|_C$. Thus the multi-valued operator U defined by $U(t) = S_F^1(y)(t) \cap K(t)$, where

$$K(t) = \{w \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \|v_1(t) - w\| \leq k(t)\|x_t - y_t\|_C\}$$

has nonempty values and is measurable. Let v_2 be a measurable selection for U (which exists by Kuratowski-Ryll-Nardzewski's selection theorem. See [3]). Then $v_2 \in F(t, y_t)$ and $\|v_1(t) - v_2(t)\| \leq k(t)\|x_t - y_t\|_C$ a.e. on I .

Define $u_2(t) = \int_0^t v_2(s) ds$. It follows that $u_2 \in Ay$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_1(t) - u_2(t)\| &\leq \left\| \int_0^t v_1(s) ds - \int_0^t v_2(s) ds \right\| \\ &\leq \int_0^t \|v_1(s) - v_2(s)\| ds \\ &\leq \int_0^t k(s)\|x_s - y_s\|_C ds \\ &\leq \|k\|_{L^1} \|x - y\|. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the supremum over t , we obtain

$$\|u_1 - u_2\| \leq \|k\|_{L^1} \|x - y\|.$$

From this and the analogous inequality obtained by interchanging the roles of x and y we get that

$$H(A(x), A(y)) \leq \|k\|_{L^1} \|x - y\|,$$

for all $x, y \in X$. This shows that A is a multi-valued contraction, since $\|k\|_{L^1} < 1$.

Step IV. Now we show that the multi-valued operator B is completely continuous on X . First we show that B maps bounded sets into bounded sets in X . To see this, let Q be a bounded set in X . Then there exists a real number $r > 0$ such that $\|x\| \leq r, \forall x \in Q$.

Now for each $u \in Bx$, there exists a $v \in S_G^1(x)$ such that

$$u(t) = \phi(0) + \int_0^t v(s) ds.$$

Then for each $t \in I$,

$$\begin{aligned} |u(t)| &\leq |\phi(0)| + \int_0^t |v(s)| ds \\ &\leq \|\phi\|_C + \int_0^t h_r(s) ds \\ &\leq \|\phi\|_C + \|h_r\|_{L^1}. \end{aligned}$$

This further implies that

$$\|u\| \leq \|\phi\|_C + \|h_r\|_{L^1}$$

for all $u \in Bx \subset \bigcup B(Q)$. Hence $\bigcup B(Q)$ is bounded.

Next we show that B maps bounded sets into equicontinuous sets. Let Q be, as above, a bounded set and $u \in Bx$ for some $x \in Q$. Then there exists $v \in S_G^1(x)$ such that

$$u(t) = \phi(0) + \int_0^t v(s) ds.$$

Then for any $t_1, t_2 \in I$ with $t_1 \leq t_2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |u(t_1) - u(t_2)| &\leq \left| \int_0^{t_1} v(s) ds - \int_0^{t_2} v(s) ds \right| \\ &= \int_{t_1}^{t_2} |v(s)| ds \\ &\leq \int_{t_1}^{t_2} h_r(s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

If $t_1, t_2 \in I_0$ then $|u(t_1) - u(t_2)| = |\phi(t_1) - \phi(t_2)|$. For the case where $t_1 \leq 0 \leq t_2$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned} |u(t_1) - u(t_2)| &\leq \left| \phi(t_1) - \phi(0) - \int_0^{t_2} v(s) ds \right| \\ &\leq |\phi(t_1) - \phi(0)| + \int_0^{t_2} |v(s)| ds \\ &\leq |\phi(t_1) - \phi(0)| + \int_0^{t_2} h_r(s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, in all cases, we have

$$|u(t_1) - u(t_2)| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t_1 \rightarrow t_2.$$

As a result $\bigcup B(Q)$ is an equicontinuous set in X . Now an application of Arzelá-Ascoli theorem yields that the multi B is totally bounded on X .

Step V. Next we prove that B has a closed graph. Let $\{x_n\} \subset X$ be a sequence such that $x_n \rightarrow x_*$ and let $\{y_n\}$ be a sequence defined by $y_n \in Bx_n$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such

that $y_n \rightarrow y_*$. We will show that $y_* \in Bx_*$. Since $y_n \in Bx_n$, there exists a $v_n \in S_G^1(x_n)$ such that

$$y_n(t) = \phi(0) + \int_0^t v_n(s) ds.$$

Consider the linear and continuous operator $\mathcal{K} : L^1(X) \rightarrow C(X)$ defined by

$$\mathcal{K}v(t) = \int_0^t v(s) ds.$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} |y_n(t) - \phi(0) - (y_*(t) - \phi(0))| &\leq |y_n(t) - y_*(t)| \\ &\leq \|y_n - y_*\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 2.2 it follows that $(\mathcal{K} \circ S_G^1)$ is a closed graph operator and from the definition of \mathcal{K} one has

$$y_n(t) - \phi(0) \in (\mathcal{K} \circ S_G^1(x_n)).$$

As $x_n \rightarrow x_*$ and $y_n \rightarrow y_*$, there is a $v \in S_G^1(x_*)$ such that

$$y_*(t) = \phi(0) + \int_0^t v_*(s) ds.$$

Hence the multi B is an upper semi-continuous operator on X .

Step VI. Finally we show that the set

$$\mathcal{E} = \{u \in X : \lambda u \in Au + Bu \text{ for some } \lambda > 1\}$$

is bounded.

Let $u \in \mathcal{E}$ be any element. Then there exists $v_1 \in S_F^1(u)$ and $v_2 \in S_G^1(u)$ such that

$$u(t) = \lambda^{-1}\phi(0) + \lambda^{-1} \int_0^t v_1(s) ds + \lambda^{-1} \int_0^t v_2(s) ds.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} |u(t)| &\leq \phi(0) + \int_0^t |v_1(s)| ds + \int_0^t |v_2(s)| ds \\ &\leq \phi(0) + \int_0^t (k(s) \|u_s\|_C + \|F(s, 0)\|) ds + \int_0^t q(s) \psi(\|u_s\|_C) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Put $w(t) = \max\{|u(s)| : -r \leq s \leq t\}$, $t \in I$. Then $\|u_t\|_C \leq w(t)$ for all $t \in I$ and there is a point $t^* \in [-r, t]$ such that $w(t) = u(t^*)$. Hence we have

$$w(t) = |u(t^*)|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \int_0^{t^*} k(s) \|u_s\|_C ds + \int_0^{t^*} \|F(s, 0)\| ds + \int_0^{t^*} q(s) \psi(\|u_s\|_C) ds \\
&\leq c_0 + \int_0^t k(s) w(s) ds + \int_0^t q(s) \psi(w(s)) ds \\
&\leq c_0 + \int_0^t \gamma(s) (w(s) + \psi(w(s))) ds,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\gamma(t) = \max\{k(t), q(t)\}$ for $t \in I$.

Let

$$m(t) = c_0 + \int_0^t \gamma(s) (w(s) + \psi(w(s))) ds, \quad t \in I.$$

Then we have $w(t) \leq m(t)$ for all $t \in I$. Differentiating w.r.t. to t , we obtain

$$m'(t) = \gamma(t) (w(t) + \psi(w(t))), \text{ a.e. } t \in I, \quad m(0) = c_0.$$

This further implies that

$$m'(t) \leq \gamma(t) (m(t) + \psi(m(t))), \text{ a.e. } t \in I, \quad m(0) = c_0,$$

that is,

$$\frac{m'(t)}{m(t) + \psi(m(t))} \leq \gamma(t) \text{ a.e. } t \in J, \quad m(0) = c_0.$$

Integrating from 0 to t we get

$$\int_0^t \frac{m'(s)}{m(s) + \psi(m(s))} ds \leq \int_0^t \gamma(s) ds.$$

By the change of variable,

$$\int_{c_0}^{m(t)} \frac{ds}{s + \psi(s)} \leq \|\gamma\|_{L^1} < \int_{c_0}^{\infty} \frac{ds}{s + \psi(s)} ds.$$

Hence there exists a constant M such that

$$w(t) \leq m(t) \leq M \text{ for all } t \in I.$$

Now from the definition of w it follows that

$$\|u\| = \sup_{t \in [-r, a]} |u(t)| = w(a) \leq m(a) \leq M,$$

for all $u \in \mathcal{E}$. This shows that the set \mathcal{E} is bounded in X . As a result the conclusion (ii) of Theorem 2.1 does not hold. Hence the conclusion (i) holds and consequently (3.2) or equivalently FDI (1.1) has a solution x on J . This completes the proof. \square

4 Existence of Extremal Solutions

In this section we shall prove the existence of maximal and minimal solutions of the FDI (1.1) under suitable monotonicity conditions on the multi-functions involved in it. We define the usual co-ordinate-wise order relation " \leq " in \mathbb{R}^n as follows. Let $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be any two elements. Then by " $x \leq y$ " we mean $x_i \leq y_i$ for all $\forall i, i = 1, \dots, n$. We equip the space $C(J, \mathbb{R}^n)$ with the order relation \leq defined by the cone K in $C(J, \mathbb{R}^n)$, that is,

$$K = \{x \in C(J, \mathbb{R}^n) \mid x(t) \geq 0, \forall t \in J\}. \quad (4.1)$$

It is known that the cone K is normal in $C(J, \mathbb{R}^n)$. The details of cones and their properties may be found in Heikkilä and Lakshmikantham [11]. Let $a, b \in C(J, \mathbb{R}^n)$ be such that $a \leq b$. Then by an order interval $[a, b]$ we mean a set of points in $C(J, \mathbb{R}^n)$ given by

$$[a, b] = \{x \in C(J, \mathbb{R}^n) \mid a \leq x \leq b\}. \quad (4.2)$$

Let $D, Q \in P_{cl}(C(J, \mathbb{R}^n))$. Then by $D \leq Q$ we mean $a \leq b$ for all $a \in D$ and $b \in Q$. Thus $a \leq D$ implies that $a \leq b$ for all $b \in Q$ in particular, if $D \leq D$, then it follows that D is a singleton set.

Definition 4.1 Let X be an ordered Banach space. A mapping $T : X \rightarrow P_{cl}(X)$ is called isotone increasing if $x, y \in X$ with $x < y$, then we have that $Tx \leq Ty$.

We use the following fixed point theorem in the proof of main existence result of this section.

Theorem 4.1 (Dhage [7]). Let $[a, b]$ be an order interval in a Banach space and let $A, B : [a, b] \rightarrow P_{cl}(X)$ be two multi-valued operators satisfying

- (a) A is multi-valued contraction,
- (b) B is completely continuous,
- (c) A and B are isotone increasing, and
- (d) $Ax + Bx \subset [a, b], \forall x \in [a, b]$.

Further if the cone K in X is normal, then the operator inclusion $x \in Ax + Bx$ has a least fixed point x_* and a greatest fixed point x^* in $[a, b]$. Moreover $x_* = \lim_n x_n$ and $x^* = \lim_n y_n$, where $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ are the sequences in $[a, b]$ defined by

$$x_{n+1} \in Ax_n + Bx_n, x_0 = a \quad \text{and} \quad y_{n+1} \in Ay_n + By_n, y_0 = b.$$

We need the following definitions in the sequel.

Definition 4.2 A solution $a \in C(J, \mathbb{R}^n)$ is called a lower solution of the FDI (1.1) if $a'(t) \leq v_1(t) + v_2(t)$ for all $t \in I$ and $a(t) \leq \phi(t)$ for all $t \in I_0$, where $v_1, v_2 \in L^1(I, \mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $v_1(t) \in F(t, a_t)$ and $v_2(t) \in G(t, a_t)$ almost everywhere $t \in I$. Similarly an upper solution b of the FDI (1.1) is defined.

Definition 4.3 A solution x_M of the FDI (1.1) is said to be maximal if x is any other solution of FDI (1.1) on J , then we have $x(t) \leq x_M(t)$ for all $t \in J$. Similarly a minimal solution of the FDI (1.1) is defined.

We consider the following assumptions in the sequel.

(H₆) The multi-functions $F(t, x)$ and $G(t, x)$ are nondecreasing in x almost everywhere for $t \in I$.

(H₇) The FDI (1.1) has a lower solution a and an upper solution b with $a \leq b$.

Theorem 4.2 Assume that the hypotheses (H₁)-(H₇) hold. Then the FDI (1.1) has minimal and maximal solutions on J .

Proof : Let $X = C(J, \mathbb{R}^n)$ and consider the order interval $[a, b]$ in X which is well defined in view of hypothesis (H₇). Define two operators $A, B : [a, b] \rightarrow P_{cl}(X)$ by (3.3) and (3.4) respectively. It can be shown, as in the proof of Theorem 3.1, that A and B define the multi-valued operators $A : [a, b] \rightarrow P_{cl,cv,bd}(X)$ and $B : [a, b] \rightarrow P_{cp,cv}(X)$. It is also similarly shown that A and B are respectively multi-valued contraction and completely continuous on $[a, b]$. We shall show that A and B are isotone increasing on $[a, b]$. Let $x \in [a, b]$ be such that $x \leq y, x \neq y$. Then by (H₆), we have

$$\begin{aligned} Ax(t) &= \left\{ u(t) : u(t) = \int_0^t v(s) ds, v \in S_F^1(x) \right\} \\ &\leq \left\{ u(t) : u(t) = \int_0^t v(s) ds, v \in S_F^1(y) \right\} \\ &= Ay(t), \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in I$ and $Ax(t) = 0 = Ay(t)$ for all $t \in I_0$. Hence $Ax \leq Ay$. Similarly by (H₆), we have

$$\begin{aligned} Bx(t) &= \left\{ u(t) : u(t) = \phi(0) + \int_0^t v(s) ds, v \in S_G^1(x) \right\} \\ &\leq \left\{ u(t) : u(t) = \phi(0) + \int_0^t v(s) ds, v \in S_G^1(y) \right\} \\ &= By(t), \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in I$ and $Bx(t) = \phi(t) = By(t)$ for all $t \in I_0$. Hence $Bx \leq By$. Thus A and B are isotone increasing on $[a, b]$. Finally let $x \in [a, b]$ be any element. Then by (H₇),

$$a \leq Aa + Ba \leq Ax + Bx \leq Ab + Bb \leq b,$$

which shows that $Ax + Bx \in [a, b]$ for all $x \in [a, b]$. Thus the multi-valued operator A and B satisfy all the conditions of Theorem 4.1 to yield that the operator inclusion and consequently the FDI (1.1) has maximal and minimal solutions on J . This completes the proof. \square

References

- [1] Agarwal, R., Dhage B. C. and O'Regan, D., The upper and lower solution method for differential inclusions via a lattice fixed point theorem, *Dynam. Systems Appl.* **12** (2003), 1-7.
- [2] Appell, J., Nguyen, H. T. and Zabreiko, P., Multivalued superposition operators in ideal spaces of vector functions III, *Indag Math.* **3** (1992), 1-9.
- [3] Aubin J. and Cellina A., *Differential Inclusions*, Springer Verlag, 1984.
- [4] Benchohra M., Upper and lower solutions method for second order differential inclusions, *Dynam. Systems Appl.* **11** (2002), 13-20.
- [5] Dhage, B. C., Existence theory for perturbed first order differential equations, (*Preprint*).
- [6] Dhage, B. C., Multi-valued mappings and fixed points I, (*Preprint*).
- [7] Dhage, B. C., A fixed point theorem for multi-valued mappings with applications, (*Preprint*).
- [8] Dhage B. C. and Kang, S.M., Upper and lower solutions method for first order discontinuous differential inclusions, *Math. Sci. Res. J.* **6** (2002), 527-533.
- [9] Dhage B. C., Holambe, T. L. and Ntouyas S. K., Upper and lower solutions method for second order discontinuous differential inclusions, *Math. Sci. Res. J.* **7** (2003), 206-212.
- [10] Halidias N. and Papageorgiou N., Second order multi-valued boundary value problems, *Arch. Math. (Brno)* **34** (1998), 267-284.
- [11] Heikkila S. and Lakshmikantham V., *Monotone Iterative Technique for Nonlinear Discontinuous Differential Equations*, Marcel Dekker Inc., New York, 1994.
- [12] Hu S., and Papageorgiu N., *A Handbook of Multi-valued Analysis: Volume I, Theory*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1997.
- [13] Lasota, A. and Opial, Z., An application of the Kakutani-Ky Fan theorem in the theory of ordinary differential equations, *Bull. Acad. Pol. Sci. Ser. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys.* **13** (1965), 781-786.

- [14] Martelli, M., A Rothe's type theorem for non compact acyclic-valued maps, *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital.* **4** (Suppl. Fasc.) (1975), 70-76.
- [15] Petruşel, A., Multivalued operators and continuous selections. The fixed points set, *P.U.M.A.*, **9** (1998), 165-170.